

The Regular Meeting of the Meeker Town Board was held Tuesday, September 1, 2009 at Town Hall.

Members Present	Mayor	Etheridge
Trustees		Gerloff Halandras Strate Cook Omer
Trustees Absent		Mills
Town Staff Present	Town Administrator Town Planner Chief of Police Public Works Super. Town Clerk Liquor Clerk Admin. Assist.	Day Smith Hervey Overton Cook Sizemore Niemi
Citizens Present	Jeff Burkhead Dick Prosenice Bob Lange Nancy Harris Leonard Reck Walt Proctor	RB Herald Times Henry Arcolesse - Ma Famiglia Van Piluad - RBC Engineer Kassey Brixey ó Pizza Hut Rob Pratt ó Stantec Mr. & Mrs. Barry Giles

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Etheridge called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

ROLL CALL

All Trustees present, except Trustee Mills.

APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

Mayor Etheridge asked if there were any changes to the agenda, with none noted.

A motion was made by Trustee Omer to approve the agenda, seconded by Trustee Cook. All ayes, motion carried.

APPROVAL OF THE PREVIOUS MINUTES

Mayor Etheridge asked for questions or comments regarding the previous minutes from August 18, 2009, with none noted.

A motion was made by Trustee Gerloff to approve the previous minutes of August 18, 2009, seconded by Trustee Strate. Ayes ó Trustees Omer, Halandras, Strate and Gerloff. Trustee Cook abstained. Motion carried.

APPROVAL OF VOUCHERS

Mayor Etheridge asked for questions or comments regarding the revised set of vouchers dated September 1, 2009. Trustee Halandras inquired about Item #31 under the Water Fund ó Spiegel & Son Inc. asking if the painting of the water tanks was completed. Town Administrator Sharon Day replied the tank painters were currently painting the Ute Terrace tank. She also explained Advanced Diving dived the tanks and did the inspection, which was now completed including reviewing videos and reports. Mayor Etheridge asked for additional comments or questions with none noted.

Regular Meeting of the Town of Meeker – September 1, 2009

A motion to approve the revised set of vouchers dated September 1, 2009 was made by Trustee Strate, seconded by Trustee Halandras. All ayes, motion carried.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

None

NEW BUSINESS

Bid award for “Free Standing 16 x 24 foot Garage.”

Trustee Halandras left the room due to conflict of interest. Chief of Police Bob Hervey stated only one bid had been received in the amount of \$29,920.00 and was considerably higher than budgeted. He stated when first looking at the project, he looked at either a pre-built building or a stick-built structure and had advertised it as a steel building with the thought of helping some of the local contractors. Chief Hervey stated with only one bid which was double of what the budget was, he suggested the specifications of the bid be re-written and to re-advertise the request for bids. Trustee Omer inquired as to what type of materials Chief Hervey had in mind for the revised bid. Chief Hervey stated a regular wood structure facility/shed, with a concrete slab. He stated the Recycling Center is a steel building and had wanted to stay consistent, but steel is expensive at this time. Mayor Etheridge asked for questions or comments, with none noted. The Board concurred to have Chief Hervey re-write the bid specifications and to re-advertise the request for bids for the 16x24 free standing garage. Trustee Halandras returned to the meeting.

Request from Pizza Hut for renewal of 3.2 Percent Beer Retail License.

Liquor Clerk Sizemore stated this was the annual renewal for Pizza Hut. She stated there had been four calls for service to the address, none were related to alcohol. Liquor Clerk Sizemore stated they also had a new manager, Kasey Brixey, who was present. Staff recommended approval of the request. Mayor Etheridge asked for questions or comments from the applicant and the Board with none noted.

A motion was made by Trustee Gerloff to approve the request from Pizza Hut for renewal of a 3.2 Percent Beer Retail License, seconded by Trustee Halandras. All ayes, motion carried.

Request from Hask Inc. dba Ma Famiglia for renewal of Hotel and Restaurant Liquor License.

Liquor Clerk Sizemore stated this was the annual renewal for Ma Famiglia and the applicant, Henry Arcolesse was present. She stated there had been two calls for service to the establishment, none related to alcohol, and Staff recommended approval. Mayor Etheridge asked for questions or comments from Mr. Arcolesse and the Board with none noted.

A motion was made by Trustee Strate to approve the request from Hask Inc. dba Ma Famiglia for renewal of Hotel and Restaurant Liquor License, seconded by Trustee Gerloff. All ayes, motion carried.

Discussion and presentation by traffic engineer concerning proposed Ute Road design, including “roundabout” on Sulphur Creek Road and Ute Road intersection, (the street connecting Sulphur Creek Road with the new Elementary School and access to the Fairgrounds and ERBM facilities). Public comments and questions invited.

Mayor Etheridge introduced Rob Pratt, Traffic Engineer from Stantec, stating he would be providing a presentation on the proposed Ute Road design, and to answer questions and address concerns from the public. Mr. Pratt introduced himself and provided his credentials and work experience to the group. He began his presentation with a summary of the project and objectives, stating the traffic study was still in draft form but hoped to have the final version completed soon. He stated the traffic study was two-fold, 1) study the traffic and, 2) look at conceptual ideas of what the new road would look like going to the new school. Mr. Pratt stated tasks they were given to look at through the study was a practical approach and a long-term approach. He stated they were asked to look at mobility; which included automobiles, haul trucks, traffic in and out of the fairgrounds, and pedestrian activity in the area, anything that accessed

Regular Meeting of the Town of Meeker – September 1, 2009

activity in the area, anything that accessed the site. Mr. Pratt stated Stantec wanted to put together a quality project and everything looked at was life-cycled out for 20-years. He stated safety was the number one concern, as well as cost, which seemed to go hand in hand. Mr. Pratt stated the purpose of the traffic impact study was to evaluate the existing and future conditions of the area and the new school, to identify any challenges or limitations, and to develop and make recommendations for the design of the new school access and to determine any necessary improvements for the access as well as the surrounding road-way system. Mr. Pratt outlined the traffic study process; areas of study and how the data had been collected. He stated once the data was collected they took the information and began developing trip generation models, how traffic would interact with the new school and the proposed roadway system, and with all the information, they developed a traffic analysis model running many different simulations. Mr. Pratt stated public involvement included a survey to elementary school parents to get a feel for how people would be accessing the new school and after all that information was collected, they began looking at the design alternatives and the limitations (fiscal and property) in the area. Mr. Pratt stated Stantec then presented their recommendations and the study to the Town.

Mr. Pratt stated the existing conditions analyzed were Garfield and 2nd Street, which is currently stop controlled and for the future they looked at stop controlled both ways. For Sulphur Creek Road and Ute Road, it is currently stop controlled, and for the future, Stantec looked at various designs: stop controlled, stop controlled with added turn lanes, added turn lanes with a signal light, and the alternative of a round-about. He stated from Stantec's viewpoint, whether there were stop signs, a signal light, or a roundabout, it's an intersection type, and is the method how traffic is moved. Mr. Pratt explained the traffic data numbers shown on his presentation; blue represented the existing 2009 population, and red represented the projected 2030 numbers with a projected growth rate of one-percent based on prevailing conditions. He also presented the traffic count numbers for travel in and out of the Rec. Center (525 trips) on a daily basis, stating once the new school opened, there would be three times the trips and would happen the first month the new school opened. Mr. Pratt outlined additional trip data: 665 trips to and from on Sulphur Creek Road, north of Ute Road and in the future there would be over 2,600 trips, happening over a period of time (next 20 years).

Mr. Pratt went on to discuss safety concerns: inadequate pedestrian facilities, traveling speeds, and getting vehicles down to a safe speed when interacting with pedestrians. He stated safety to him was the most important part of the project, but becomes a balancing act to stay in the constraints presented, the budget, and practicality. He stated there would be pedestrians and vehicles in the corridor and whatever happens, the two must co-exist and then there was the issue of connectivity to the Town, either with a trail or sidewalk, to get from Garfield Street or other locations to the new school. Mr. Pratt stated there were other things to look at with safety, one of which is traffic calming, which can do two things: 1) decrease traffic flow, or 2) decrease speeds, and with either one of those factors, safety increases. He explained traffic calming measures for the area could be a roundabout, curb extensions on Ute Road, raised crosswalks (explaining how they work), signs and widening of the road. Mr. Pratt stated when looking at the intersection comparison at Sulphur Creek Road and Ute Road, Stantec showed 23 seconds of delay (currently about 23 seconds) and explained when they model things, they model them at an hour, as there are no algorithms for schools. He stated the numbers/data weren't showing the morning and afternoon peaks, and the numbers could be tripled for delay (60 seconds or more) and with a roundabout there would only be a delay of four to twelve seconds. Mr. Pratt stated roundabouts work very well in situations such as the Town's where there is a constant flow of traffic as it will keep the traffic flowing, and with a stop controlled intersection such as Sulphur Creek Road and Ute Road, if there is any major traffic on Sulphur Creek Road, everyone on Ute Road will have to stop and will bottle neck. He also stated a roundabout is the least noisy of all intersection options.

Mr. Pratt presented a graph he had developed regarding the pros and the cons of an un-signalized intersection versus a signalized intersection versus a roundabout. He pointed out a roundabout in the Town's situation has the most going for it, but they tend to have higher construction costs. Mr. Pratt stated this was not the case for the proposed roundabout, whereas if auxiliary turning lanes were to be constructed there would need to be a wall constructed on the east side and

Regular Meeting of the Town of Meeker – September 1, 2009

constructed on the east side and there were right of way issues. He stated the auxiliary lane option with signalization becomes the most expensive option for the Town. He also stated in working with the Town and other entities, the proposed road design was the most conceptual idea that Stantec came up with for what Ute Road would look like. He showed the group where the existing Ute Road was, stating they had looked at using it, bringing it up to par and tying it into the box culvert over Sulphur Creek Road, but the road had some big issues to overcome. He stated the proposed layout with the proposed roundabout would have raised crosswalks in specific areas (pointed out to the group), a curb extension, and a goal to bring an eight-foot trail along the west side of the road, stating the proposed layout was a work in process, and nothing had been designed as they were looking for the public's input. After his presentation, Mr. Pratt asked for questions or comments from the audience.

Barry Giles, 815 Sulphur Creek Road stated Mr. Pratt had said they were designing the proposed road to avoid the existing road because of speed concerns and existing problems, and that Mr. Pratt was making it easier for people to speed in a school zone. Mr. Pratt replied no, they were trying to make it safe. He explained curbs for the existing road could be designed for 15 mph, but was not normally done and the bigger issue with the existing road was right-of-way. Mr. Pratt also stated another issue was the existing parking lot (overflow lot) at the Rec. Center, which would have two intersections within 100 feet of each other, and either would have to relocate the entire parking lot or develop a new access to it. He explained they had looked at it and those were the reasons it hadn't been considered as an alternative.

Mr. Giles inquired if any other alternatives had been looked at besides Sulphur Creek Road. He stated he saw a road behind the high school, inquiring if it could be used. Day replied the school had said no to that alternative. Mr. Giles inquired as to how the school could say no when it was their school. Day explained the road went through a parking lot where high school students parked, and mixing high school students with elementary school students on a narrow road was not a good idea. Discussion focused on the parking lot not being full, and that Mr. Giles questions should be directed to RE-1 School District. Leonard Reck 865 Sulphur Creek Road inquired if anyone from the School District was present with Day stating no, as they also had a meeting tonight.

Dick Prosenice inquired of Mr. Pratt about the pedestrian crossings north and south of the roundabout and how they would be signed. Mr. Pratt stated he would sign them with advance crosswalk signs and signs at the crosswalks. Mr. Prosenice inquired as to how successful that would be in stopping people coming down Sulphur Creek Road driving fairly fast. Mr. Pratt stated advanced signing would be used and they could also do solar powered flashing beacons on the signs. Discussion focused on previous safety concerns on Market Street, with children crossing it, the effectiveness of signs on a roundabout and other intersections and how students would cross the proposed roundabout. Mr. Prosenice stated an effective and cheaper intersection would be to add a lane north on the existing road, which would provide a large radius turn into the school, provide for overflow traffic and space for a raised crosswalk/median where a stop sign could be placed and Sulphur Creek traffic could be stopped (two stops). He stated the traffic coming out of the school would have a left turn and heavy traffic would be unfettered by an open left turn and an open right turn without the expense of building a roundabout. Mr. Prosenice stated with the addition of a 12-ft. lane and a wall to the existing road, it would require some widening at a reasonable cost. He stated he couldn't see a problem with the right-of-way and the proposed roundabout would require extensive excavation on the hill. Mr. Pratt replied a model had been done with quantities and cost estimates and the only major earthworks would be some fill in another area, pointing it out to the group. He stated the roundabout would be contained on the west side, within right-of-way and wouldn't be going up the side of the hill.

Mr. Reck stated the entities weren't working together, and that was why his driveway comes out in a proposed roundabout. Trustee Gerloff stated he disagreed with Mr. Reck's statement, stating the Board, Town Staff, the School District, the County and the Rec. District had been working together to get to this point. He stated with the proposed roundabout, it was the most economical and possibly the most beneficial for everyone using the street. He also inquired

Regular Meeting of the Town of Meeker – September 1, 2009

He also inquired of Mr. Reck, with his driveway in the proposed roundabout, how did Stantec propose to address that? Mr. Reck stated they had discussed a few ideas, and Mr. Pratt had showed him that he could back up into his driveway with a trailer. Mr. Pratt stated they would treat Mr. Reck's driveway as if it were a fourth leg of the roundabout. Discussion focused on roundabouts being a good solution if there were multiple roads in close proximity, the current intersection being a T-intersection and the easiest to sign, cost of a roundabout being the most economical, the perimeters (right-of-way), staying in the perimeters, and cooperation between the County, the Town and the Rec. District.

Trustee Omer clarified with Mr. Pratt that he was speaking of the right-of-ways on the east side, with Mr. Pratt stating yes, and showed the group where right-of-way concerns were. Bob Lange, 835 Sulphur Creek Road stated he had three points he wanted to make. He stated his first point regarded the safety concerns the homeowners had and the proximity of the roundabout to their driveways and hoped the Town would consider some alternative, such as moving it. He stated the second point, which was apparent in reviewing the draft traffic study, was the Garfield Street and Sulphur Creek Road crossing was more dangerous and had more problems than the existing T-intersection. He stated if the Town were to go forward with the current plan, he hoped they would consider doing something quickly with the area. He stated his final point was if there was a better solution on paper, he hoped the right-of-way issues weren't the constraint and hoped the entities involved could find a way to work it out. Mayor Etheridge asked for additional questions or comments with Walt Proctor, 623 3rd Street, stating when given the proposed design, Mr. Pratt had spoken about vehicles and pedestrian traffic. He asked Mr. Pratt if he had given priority to either one. Mr. Pratt stated he would go on the record and put pedestrians as the higher priority, as they are dealing with young children. He outlined a similar project in Telluride he had worked on; stating they had some of the same issues the Town currently has and it was a successful project. Discussion focused on the amount of traffic in the area, the age of pedestrians, pedestrian traffic in the area, a roundabout not being a positive traffic control, why a traffic signal light isn't a good alternative for the area, and no positive traffic control in the area.

Nancy Harris, 855 Sulphur Creek Road inquired of Mr. Pratt if any studies had been done which included residents going in and out of their driveways in a roundabout and outlined the issues discussed earlier. Mr. Pratt stated he was aware of one study in Colorado Springs, next to a school and it worked fairly well. He stated the garbage truck issue was something recently discussed and Stantec had not considered at the time, and would be something to consider. Trustee Omer inquired about the Telluride roundabout, asking what schools it involved and if they used crossing guards? Mr. Pratt stated it was an elementary and Jr. High school, with older students and they did have crossing guards for a month but not now. He stated another school on the west side of Colorado Springs, used crossing guards, and an education program was done for students. Mr. Pratt stated the second and third graders had a better understanding of how to drive a roundabout than adults. Trustee Omer stated that was the point and people will just get used to it. He stated in his opinion if the plan moved forward, the school would have to have crossing guards. He inquired of Mr. Pratt about traffic accidents in roundabouts, with Mr. Pratt stating they decreased drastically. Ms. Harris stated she had researched statistics on roundabouts, stating bicycle accidents were 15 percent higher in a roundabout. She stated with the proposed roundabout, kids would think it was fun to ride in. Mr. Pratt stated they currently proposed an eight-foot sidewalk/trail for bicycle traffic, to keep them off the road.

Mr. Lange inquired if they could look at a four-way stop since the traffic study was still in draft form? Mr. Pratt stated he could have it done in the morning. Mayor Etheridge inquired if there were any more questions or comments with Mr. Reck stating he was not happy about the proposed roundabout on his driveway, but his greater concern was the pedestrians crossing the sidewalks, and a roundabout was designed for traffic priority. He stated he recommended earlier the pedestrian walkway be moved elsewhere, away from the roundabout, and should be considered. He also stated the response he got was no one wanted to give up their right-of-way because of liability issues, and with the proposed road/roundabout, the responsibility and liability now lie with him, and his neighbors. Mr. Lange stated there were roads that dead-end and there had been discussion in the field about bringing trails down through those roads. He stated he

Regular Meeting of the Town of Meeker – September 1, 2009

bringing trails down through those roads. He stated he hoped the Town would consider that alternative, regardless of what happened with the proposed road. Mr. Lange also stated another possibility would be a lower bike path along the County grounds, and felt it should also be considered.

Mayor Etheridge thanked Mr. Pratt for his presentation and addressing the questions and concerns from the public. She also thanked the public for their comments and participation in the discussion. Ms. Harris inquired as to what the next step was. She asked if they would be notified if this was what the Town was thinking of doing, and if they (homeowners) weren't happy with the decision could they petition, or try to get the Commissioners involved? Day stated the process would be to consider and discuss everything the Town had heard, and get back to them when they had another meeting. Mayor Etheridge stated at this time, they needed to sit down with the Commissioners, the Rec. District, and the School District and revisit the concerns the public had brought up. Trustee Halandras stated the homeowners had made good comments but also needed to realize the Town was trying to deal with the situation the school created. He stated his concern was the safety of the kids, and the homeowners needed to help the Town by taking their concerns to the County, and/or the School Board. Mayor Etheridge thanked everyone again for their participation, stating she wasn't sure what the next steps were, but more conversations would be needed, and no decisions would be made. Mr. Proctor asked Mayor Etheridge if all the entities could meet with the public as it would be more efficient, with Mayor Etheridge stating it could be done.

MAYOR'S REMARKS

None.

MANAGER'S REPORTS

Energy Impact Grant Funding: Administrator Day stated \$595,000 out of the \$925,000 grant request had been received. She stated the Board would have to decide how to fund the gap, and it needed to be discussed among all the entities involved. She stated she had spoken with Dave Morlan who had suggested the Town go out to bid before the end of the year to see if they could get a good price. Van Piluad, RBC Engineer, stated the County had received good prices on surfacing at cost with no profit, primarily because of the economy being so bad, and as a result the County would be able to pave all of County Road 4. Day stated Mr. Morlan's suggestion was not to start the project this year, but to get the bid in place, but she was not sure if the Town could do that. She stated it was a suggestion and could be done early next year to take advantage of the better pricing.

Elementary School Engineer Inspection Proposal: Day stated the Board had copy of a letter from Bob Patella (Patella and Associate, who had done a preliminary look at the structure of the Elementary School and after that initial look had forwarded a proposal for a full blown study of the structure letter attached to minutes) and need direction on how to move forward. Trustee Halandras stated he rode back from Rangely with Kai Turner (County Commissioner) last week and had discussed the issue with him. He stated Mr. Turner had stated when he was on the School Board, he was sure there was an engineer who had gone through the school and had completed a report. Trustee Halandras stated he told Mr. Turner the School couldn't find the information. Day stated she would contact the School and try again to locate the information. Day stated Neenan Company had done a study, but they were architects and not engineers.

Trustee Halandras stated he doesn't want to see the Town spend a lot of money on the old elementary school, as they don't need it, have no way to maintain it and if the county wanted it, the Town needed to get them in and find out what exactly what they wanted to do because the Board had seen the concept drawing, knew that it was only conceptual and recognized there will be public comment. Day stated she thought there were other people who wanted to be heard as well and further added that as the Board has discussed in the past, the Board will schedule time for all to be heard and then the Board can make a good decision. Day stated she had understood that the Board wanted to determine if the building was worth saving before moving into the public process. Trustee Halandras stated they should get ideas from the public and already know of a couple of them in theory. Day inquired of the Board if they wanted to move forward in hearing

Regular Meeting of the Town of Meeker – September 1, 2009

inquired of the Board if they wanted to move forward in hearing presentations/ideas for the facility before completing the engineering study? Trustee Halandras stated he didn't agree with the costs, with Trustee Cook asking if the Town had already paid for the first portion, with Day stating yes, \$2,000. Trustee Cook asked if the second letter was in addition to the \$2,000 with Day stating yes and would be more comprehensive. Day stated the study done by the hospital was approximately \$30,000 and included the mechanical and electrical. Trustee Omer stated the study included structural, electrical and mechanical engineers and was about half of the \$30,000 but done as one master plan study. He stated the Board was concerned about the costs for a comprehensive structural engineering evaluation and when discussed a few weeks ago, the thought was "do they needed to look at structural before mechanical or electrical?" Trustee Omer stated even with the walk through for (\$2,000) they still were recommending a comprehensive structural and before it is all said and done, and before any decisions are made, he didn't want to spend money they don't have to spend, but they don't have those answers. He stated from what he heard the mechanical was substandard and if the roof structure was sound, it did need a new roof. Trustee Omer stated he didn't know if the Town would get a comprehensive look unless it had already been done. He stated maybe the Town does the structural study first and if the answer is "structurally the building can't be maintained without enormous investment," then they will know and will not have to worry about the mechanical or electrical. Trustee Omer also stated they were dealing with a very old building and any modifications, from what they heard a few months ago from the School District, was any renovation would require asbestos abatement, the bathrooms would need to be brought up to ADA standards, and the list went on and on. He stated here they are now, several months later, with no engineering studies and he is concerned about that.

Trustee Halandras stated he understood and that was his point. He stated it would be nice to know what people are thinking. He also stated the County, could care less about what the structure was because if it went to the County, they were going to start over, and then the Town would be throwing away good money after nothing. Trustee Halandras stated if someone came in with something that might work and they could find a way make \$30,000 worth it to figure out if it will work, otherwise the \$30,000 would be chased by a million. He stated they already know the building is substandard and the asbestos abatement alone would be a million or double that. Day stated the asbestos abatement would be an issue, regardless if they kept the building or tore it down. Trustee Halandras stated the County will take the building as is and if they want to tear it down, it will be their expense. He stated there aren't going to be many people who will come in and take the building as is and do all the work to it, or maybe there is, but he wants to know before the Town starts throwing money at it. Mayor Etheridge stated it like the chicken and the egg, does the Town find out the status of the building, or do they find out who is interested in the building first. Trustee Gerloff stated he thought the intent was to find reasonable uses for the property and to find out what the people wanted to do with the building. The Board and Day stated Trustee Gerloff was correct.

Trustee Halandras stated after looking at what they have paid, they could have figured the square footage of the building for a lot less. Day stated she felt there was some good information (in the report). Mayor Etheridge stated the Board should follow its initial plan and for the next three meetings the Board's job would be to let the public know that if anyone is interested in the property/facility to come to those meetings and make presentations. She stated the County could come back and re-present their proposal for what they would like to do and anyone else who was interested. Mayor Etheridge inquired of Day if she could schedule interested parties for those meetings, with Day agreeing. Mayor Etheridge stated Town Staff's job would be to highly publicize it and the Rio Blanco Herald Times needs to really push how crucial those next few meetings are to people who are interested in finding out what the possibilities are for this property. She stated by the end of October all interested parties will have made their presentations, involved the public who made their comments and by a November meeting, the Board will discuss the options and decide what to put forth on the April ballot. Trustee Gerloff commented about timetable issues with the building and Ute Road. Mayor Etheridge stated the next two months were crucial for those projects and should be the Board's main focus. Day stated as long as the Board goes through the public process, letting everyone be heard, the Board could make an informed decision. Discussion focused on not wanting to make a decision that would lead to the building being vacant and the Town not having the resources to maintain it. Trustee Strate commented that the building setting vacant would be the

Regular Meeting of the Town of Meeker – September 1, 2009

the resources to maintain it. Trustee Strate commented that the building setting vacant would be the worst scenario

The Board concurred to have the interested entities present their proposals/ideas for the facility to the Board during the next three Board meetings.

Pow-wow Ad - Day stated a letter from the Pow-wow Committee had been received thanking the Town for the donation, and asking the Town to create an ad, by Friday. She stated Town Clerk Lisa Cook would design the ad if the Board approved. Mayor Etheridge inquired if it was for the poster, with Cook stating she thought it was for the program. The Board concurred to have Cook create the ad.

CCITF Grant ó Day stated the CCITF grant the Town received for òUte Road ó see noteö had contained a clause that (if the Town did not received full funding for the energy impact grant, the County would reduce the percentage in the match from the Energy Impact grant by the same percentage as funding received in the energy impact grant). Day recommended the Town go back to the County and request the entire amount. The Board concurred. **Note: Manager Day made an incorrect statement during the meeting about the grant being for the elementary school, instead of Ute Road, which was correct.*

District Meeting - Trustee Omer inquired of Day about an email and an article in the CML newsletter regarding a district meeting, and if the one in Rangely would be in the evening. Day stated yes, there was a meeting but she didn't remember the date. Trustee Omer stated it was September 21, 2009. Day stated if anyone wanted to participate, to let her know so she could make arrangements.

CML Board Training ó Day stated there was an upcoming Board training by CML in Grand Junction. Day stated she would forward the information to the Board if they were interested in attending.

ATTORNEY'S REPORTS

None.

OTHER TOWN BOARD BUSINESS

None.

ADJOURNMENT

Mayor Etheridge adjourned the meeting at 8:25 p.m.

Mandi Etheridge, Mayor

Attest:

Lisa Cook, Town Clerk